G. R. S. [illegible]

1892-93

File No 142 of 92

Serial Nos 1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-6

Major ~~Date~~ January 1893

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Siddiah regarding the Patel of Hebbalu in Malavalli Taluk.

Indexed

A. S. No. 45

92-93

Referred by Sidrach Simpson Esq. Chairman of Selectmen - to hear and adjust all claims against the Salem Turnpike Corporation - pursuant to Sec. 81 of Chapt. 92 conferring the office of Sealer of Leather, his fallers and fraud fallers had, before the Incorporation of Salem -

According to the Register of 1795 Nathaniel and Enoch Derby were the Sealers for the office of Sealer of Leather and Nathaniel was actually performing the duties of the office -

In the Register of 1805 under the head "Sealers of Leather" Nathaniel was mentioned as dead without issue & Elias Hasket Derby, Daniel Leverett, Enoch Derby and also mentioned as dead without issue & Elias Hasket Derby as present. Daniel, Nathaniel and Simon Forrester were actually performing the duties of the office - Nathaniel Derby, Nathaniel Derby and Simon Forrester were the Sealers - In the head "Inspectors" the first two were mentioned as Register Sealers - In 1820 the third name was remarked that his father Simeon and Joseph had the care of the Office in 1836 and 38 and held knowledge thereof - Having been duly sworn, testified as follows - (The amendment to this section of 18 December 92 provides that the accounts kept in the Salem Office of the Secretary and Treasurer)

During the settlement of Patrick Colfer in 1877 Timothy Donoghue was appointed Collector and Receiver pro tempore to collect till Donoghue was promoted for duty -

On the 22nd October 1877 the Police Inspector of Macroom Station reported to the Coroner that Cath Donoghue who had been married to Timothy, died in Hospital at Cloughduv on the 7th inst. and on the 28th inst. Coroner directed the Police to send a Constable for Patrick of the deceased and on the 13 January 1878 the Police sent Patrick the deceased Step-brother and the Coroner summoned that the deceased had some more relatives directed him to be present on the 17th of January 78 to Coroner for Examination - Patrick and Timothy wife of the deceased having appeared were examined by the Coroner on the 17th January 78 Patrick requested that the Police should be Confronted on this and Timothy consented to it - On the 3rd July 78 the deceased two step-brothers Donoghue and Donoghue and Cloughduv were Examined - the two brothers Concealed the appointment of Patrick and the Collector requested that as his brother was the Petitioner of Patrick and he also Registered the deaths of the office for 2 years and had been performing the duties since the appointment of Donoghue during Patrick Petitioner office Donoghue Confronted to him -

On the 3rd July 78 the Coroner forwarded his Coroner report with his Jury and stated that Patrick was illegal and did not appear to be of Sound mind, who was doing the duty, Donoghue the appointed Collector -

the father was Scotch and his grandfather
Scotch also performed the office of Collector
and Receiver General in Prince Edward Island. † Father died Jany 1851 Grandfather died in Prince Edward Island -

Mr Chandler stated that the Petitioner had
received this Grant, principally because he was
from the Province that the deceased
Sir Isaac Coffin, who was appointed to Halifax
temporarily, was not at all competent
for the office and suggested that he might
be conferred on Prince Edward Island.

Mr Shelton stated, that he would
not like to be compelled to Prince Edward
Island to hear the witnesses.

Isaac Coffin stated that the
Petitioner had been the Collector of Port Hill
he was appointed to that office
Clerk and who was married to the younger
Sister. Mrs Coffin was a female
that he became competent for such and
that he would not accept this appointment
of Prince Edward.

Coffin stated that his office was
conferred on him as the father resided the office
personally - that he was to receive £25 - 2 - 7
that he had no other papers in support of
his claim and if he had any he would search
and produce them within four days.

On the 10th July 92 the Petitioner's Solicitor filed his Petition praying that the Grant of Port Hill might be set aside and that the Petitioner might be appointed to the office of Collector of Customs at Port Hill and that the Petition might be referred to the Commissioners appointed under the Act for the Relief of Claimants under the Treaty of Versailles

The following are the forms of affidavit

1. As will be seen from the Register of 1865 and as stated in para 12 of the petition it is clear that the petitioner's family have a right to the office in question.

2. The petitioner's father was continuously employed under government - Sanctioned

3. The memorialist states he was drawing the duties does not take away the right which the petitioner and his family enjoyed as sharers.

4. That after the death of the petitioner's father, the petitioner was engaged to look after the duties which the petitioner's brothers were unable to perform.

5. That under the rules in force at present reference to the Secretariat Service the petitioner is the proper person to succeed to the office in question held by his father.

H.K

Patd 18.1.94 for hearing -
Notice to Mr Hilliard
Sidebotham, and Capt Rutherford
How the parties -


Kallappa
Sadyappa
died childless
Basappa
died childless
Siddappa
Linkappa
died childless
Rudrappa
died childless
Mallappa
Shivalingappa
Mallappa
Basappa


Kalliah
Kalliah
Referred to in Mr Reply 1864
Died childless.
Muniyaiah
Kallaya
Kooda
(Mis-understood
& mal-known.
Basiah Commissioned in Rep. 1865
(Col. Sauer 13)
Kalliah
Kooda
Koorappa
Kooda
Basava
Examined
in Rep. 72
Eeraiya
Examined
in Rep. 72
Kalladeppa
(No Register
now appointed)
Kooriki
Kooda
Basava

(7)

M No 778 of 1892-93

Appt
Siddia
of Halhalli
Holal Kasba taluq
Challakere Dt

Respt
Mahadevappa

The Regr of 1847 shows that Respt's father Lalla & some others in regard to whom nothing is known as Patels. In 1865, Appt's father Chendria's name was added as Hissadar with a remark that he acquired the right to the Office by inheritance from his father & the centre of the village in 1836-37.— In 1877, Lallah's brother son Lingappa was appointed Patel, & Appt's father Chendria was appointed as his Shanbhog. In 1878, Lingappa died & on the report of the amildar that the original Hackdar's son was illiterate, the Dy Comr ordered Appt's father Chendria to be appointed as "Gowd Patail" of the village. This was in 1879.— Chendria who was doing duties under this order died in 1892, & the Dy Comr

Ashworth Respt v. Pollard

August
in 1892 The appeal is against
the order.—

Appellant has shewn no
right to the office, & the Dy Comrs
order is equitable. The
appeal is therefore dismissed

Lef
20/7/92

Ent

20/

He is informed that his
appeal has been dismissed,
as he has no right whatever
to the office claimed.

Lef

20/

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 4

(2)

No. 778

Name of Petitioner. Lidaia bin of Chowda

Village. Hebbakally

Taluk. Sooladeri

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 14 - 9 - 92

Date of Receipt. 23 - 9 - 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Three

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the D/ Sessions order dismissing the appeal on the ground that Manadevaiya inherited the Patelki of the village formerly held by the petr's father & grandfather.

24/9/92.

(1) No paper stamps affixed

(2) Copy of order produced

(3) Appeal in time

(4) Rule 7 cl (1) of the Fee Rules

Call for Report with the usual Report

RR 142-92

BO.

SL

13/10/92

Bora
Petn Bor (M.R.)
22/10/92

(3)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 1020
DATE 22-10-92

Receipt Stamp
22-9-92

Date Stamp

To

The Revenue Officer
Kasaba Hobli
Tarikere Taluk

Sir,

I have the honour to state that I am a Cultivator residing at Kasaba village and pay Land Revenue to Government. I have been cultivating my lands for the last 3 years and have been paying the Land Revenue regularly.

I beg to state that I have applied for a Katha to my lands in Survey No. 23 of Kasaba village and the Katha has been issued to me on 22-9-92.

I beg to state that I have been informed that the Katha issued to me is not correct and that it has to be corrected. I have been informed that I have to pay Rs. 5/- for correcting the Katha. I am a poor man and I am unable to pay the amount.

I therefore request that the Katha may be corrected free of charge.

Yours obediently,

[illegible]

were arrested by the petitioner father
Rafael and they will also state that
Rafael has a year old petitioner
father was Rafael the village of Kelekele
Bethlehem Tarlac Province that there
facts may be used corroborative of
the petition herein and to your honor
so please kindly set the 23 of December
The facts are as follows

1. Birth Certificate of 12-4-1872 from
the Municipal of Bajan Tarlac
issued to Kelekele Rafael Tarlac
(petitioner father).
2. Do - Do - of 23-1-1873 issued to
Kelekele Rafael Tarlac Municipal
(petitioner father).
3. Tax Cert. No 86 of 17-9-75 from the
Municipal Treasurer Kelekele Rafael Municipal
Office to Kelekele Rafael Municipal
(petitioner father)
4. Tax Cert. No 595 of 19-7-74 from the
Bodong Lake Police Station to
Kelekele Rafael Municipal
(petitioner father)

Recd 47 (Forty) The petitioner most humbly pray to remain
Copies your honor most obedient and
18-12-82 humble servant

Pet
455

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 778
dated 16th Sept 92 received at the
Dewan's Office from Vidwan, son of
Shankara Mahabaradi, vill
Kere Adur, appealing against
the decision &c in re the Patel
of the said village,

is forwarded, together with its three
enclosures, to the Asst Comr Chitaldrug
Dept, for submission of Engr
and a report on the facts of
the case and on the grounds
taken in the petition

2. The Enclos should be
returned.

By Order,

Draft.
17/10
for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
22 Oct 1892

Encl returned
22/10/92

Kapitet që dëshiron të marrë në shumë
rastet dhe a kanë – Në përfundim
të këtij shkrimeti lëpin të shkruhet
faktet shkencëtarë të tjerë, të cilët kanë
bërë studime në fushën e tyre dhe
të dalin në përfundime të ngjashme
të të tyrat të 1947.

9 – Të dhënat, ekuacionet që në cilën
mënyrë duhet të përfundimtarisht të
shkruhen.

Këtu të dhënat të,

Të,

Dhe kjo është përfundimi i tyre.

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Kërçiku

Prof. Asoc. Dr. Ismet Kërçiku

of 1865.

Persons retained Pay Duty

13 Name	14 Date related to present Holder of Office	15 Qualifications	16 Date of Appointment
1. Bassaiah 2. Kallaya 3. Seene Chundiah	Regis tered Patadars The Sons father Chundiah and Soobeyiah had lease of the Village in 1836 & 1837 under Mutchelika having been duty duty since then hence claim	Pay Duty	
		Signed, Seene Chundiah Soobeyiah Bassaiah and Kallaya Certified by Shanbogue Subannah	

Extract from the Regis

Registered Holders				18
8	9	10	11	Hissedars and their Hissedars in any
Name of principal holding post	Father's Name caste — how related to Registered	Age	Qualification	
Patels				
1. Kalliah, deceased — has left no sons — but his younger brother Basayah present	Kalliah	50	are illiterate & poor	1. Kallega, Sāpher 1. Amma Chundiah 1 Kallega Sidderlutt
2. Yerkeh Veeriah — deceased — has left no sons — Younger brother Kallega present	Same Kalliah	52		
	are younger brothers of Regd Holders.			

(1)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 7782
DATE 23-9-92

23-9-92

A.S. 1865.

97 95

Hon'ble Sheristadar

The Petition of his Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore

Most Humbly

Sheweth

That the petitioner is the Patel of Kabbalalli village, in the Ramagiri Hobli, Kolar Kere Taluq, and pays his revenue regularly and respectfully

Sheweth

I. That the office of the village of Kabbalalli is kept for the petitioner's family. The petitioner's grandfather, Siriah by name, the owner of which to for Khata No. 138 & 139 & will be seen from the Records in the last column 14 of the petitioner's copy of the Register of village Patrakars for the year 1865 herewith produced marked A.

of the Tehsildar, there remains for the Revenue Authorities to decide whether the said Kallicharan may be appointed or Chandrika Pattinayak (petitioner's father) may be appointed; that Kallicharan did not become a member, when Chandrika was candidate and for it to do the duties of the office and it would appear that Chandrika has agreed for the office. That Chandrika has been appointed Sahani on behalf of Government on "ad hoc" and it would appear that petitioner's father was looking after the duties in accordance with the order.

6. The said Kallicharan now must file affidavit before the concerned Revenue Authority and that till he comes forward another responsible person may be appointed to act as the Sahani.

7. In the reference to the petitioner's father, the allegation in his regard to the Revenue Authorities that neither the name of the deceased Chandrika nor that of his ancestor is to be found in column of "Patadars" or that of Kisanis" in the Register of 1947 or of 1965 has been with reference to the village Servant, but that in the Report of 1997 dated

12 the

4

12 the July 1897 submitted to the District Registrar by the Deputy Registrar, it was considered that [illegible] of the petitioner) was looking after some land belonging to the deceased Colin Campbell Scott. The land consisted in part of [illegible] which the petitioner would like to purchase subject to the District Registrar's approval. A copy of the Report is hereto produced and marked B.

3. It was also stated that the petitioner's father's name appearing in the column of "Register or Book of Births", and his (petitioner's) grandfather's name appearing in the last column (4) of the Register of 1875 was not brought to the notice of the Deputy Registrar.

(9) The Deputy Registrar recommended that the name of the absentee should be struck off the list required to be kept by section 5 of the Ordinance and that another name should be substituted for the name struck off.

The petitioner may be represented by counsel

and the

and the respondents refused
to accept the order of the Court dated
in accordance with the requirements
of the Section. Copies of the
said Order are hereto produced and
marked "A".

10. The Petitioner now begs to submit
this Petition to your Honour praying that
said Order of the respondents
in the following reasons.

11. As will be seen from the Register
of 1865 as stated in Paras
1 and 2 of the Petition,
it is clear that the Petitioner is family
members belonging to the caste in question

12. The Petitioner's father was working
after the caste riots and remained
[illegible]

13. He never knew that he was to
bring the caste was not taken away
by the Petitioner's children, the Petitioner and
his family prefers as Hindus.

14. That after the death of the
Petitioner's father, the Petitioner

[illegible]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

(8)

Appeal No 45
~~Petition No 775~~ of 1932-33

Appellants
Saidia of Nallakeli
Halalkere Taluk,
Chitaldrug District

Respondent
Ramachandrappa

LR 142-925

Decision dated 20th January 1934

The Register of 1934 shows that Respondents ~~father~~ Kalia and some others in regard to whom nothing is known, are entered as Patails. In the Register of 1365 Appellants father Chendrias name was added as Headman with a remark that he acquired the right to the office by his father having been the Patel of the village in 1336-37. In 1377,

Kalidas brother Jan
who was Deshtó uncle
Lingappa was appointed
Patail and Appellants
father Chandria was
appointed as his guardian.
In 1873. Lingappa died
and on the report of the
Arbitrator that the original
Kalidas Jan was illiterate,
the Deputy Commissioner
ordered appellants father
Chandria to be appointed
as "Government Patail"
of the village. This was
in 1879. Chandria who
was doing duties under
this order died in 1892,
and the Deputy Commissioner
appointed Respondent
as Patail in August 1892

The appeal is against this order

2. Appellant has clearly no right to the fire, and the Deputy Commissioner's order is equitable. The appeal is therefore dismissed

By order,

Recd 30/1

No 12734 Chief Secretary
Dated 8-93 30-1-94
2-2-94

Copy to the Deputy Commr Chittaldroog District with the letter, papers received with his No 361/334 dt 26-12-93

By order,

Chief Secy 31/1

No 281/1894

30/1

Appeal dismissed

Yoo 02 Sorgo

5-2-94

No. 778
a/45

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
28th January 1894

LR 142-92-6

5-2-

Endorsement granted to Subbiah of Nabhanalli, Hosur Hero Taluk, Chitaldrug District

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 778 dated 14-8-92 appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order regarding the patel of the said village

Petitioner is informed that his appeal has been dismissed as he has no right whatever to the office claimed.

2. The enc: are returned

Ul
28/1/94

APP.

Redraft

"By Order"

31/1

Chief Secretary.

SV

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

3

The Dy. Commissioner in according sanction to the above proposal in his No 804 of 6-2-79 directed that Chendriah should discharge the duties as Government Patel and a Sanad was granted to him vide Dy. Comm. No. 871 of 28-2-79.

On the 10th January 92 the Shekdar of Hebballi reported the death of Patel Chendriah. and the Amildar on the 12th idem directed him to Enquire and send the Hakdars and Warasdars together with their list.

On the 12 January 92 the Shekdar also reported Chendriah's decease and requested permission to take work from his Son temporarily. The Amildar directed in his Hukum No. 532 of 14 January 92 to have the work carried out by one of the Competent Warasdars and to produce all the Warasdars before him during his Visit to the Hobli.

On the 18th January 92 Mahadevappa Son of Kallaiah submitted a petition to the Amildar Holalakere Taluk representing that he was the Hakdar to the Patelki of Hebballi, that during Patelship the uncle Lingappa was appointed. He died Childless in 79(77), when one Chendriah, unconnected with the family, was by mistake appointed as Government Patel and praying that the Vacant Patelki may be conferred on him. This was on the 29 idem forwarded to the Shekdar for Enquiry and report during Enquiry.

On the 27th January 92 the Shekdar reported that the deceased Patel Chendriah had left behind him two wives and three Sons. The eldest Son aged 20 years was Siddappa. All of whom on Enquiry consented to the Vacant office being conferred on Siddappa. Whereas Kalliah, his Sons and his brothers Sons contended that deceased Chendriah was not the Hakdar to the Patelki, that it should not be conferred on his Son, but it should be conferred on Kalliah's Son Mahadevappa and observed that during Potesi the Patelki Samad No. 148 of 11 July 77 was after Enquiry granted to Siniappa brother of Yakkardar Kalliah - after his death the Patelki Samad No. 43 of 28 Feby. 79 was conferred on deceased Chendriah, that the Patelki Hak seemed to belong to Kalliah's family, that he would produce all these men before him during his advent and requested whether the work should be taken from Siddappa or Mahadevappa

On the 30th January 92 the Amildar directed that work should be taken from Siddappa Son of deceased Chendriah and it was communicated to him on the 15th March 92.

On the 20th May 92 the Shekdar produced the men above referred to before the Amildar. On the 27th Mahadevappa, Kalliah, Basappa's Son, Mahadevappa the Chambopur, Shekdar and Eerish were examined by the Amildar.

Mahadevappa states that he was the Hakdar to Bebbali Patel - that deceased Chendriah, who was hitherto looking after the work, was the forenamed Patel and Talarnilla a genealogical table shewing the

4

(but Chendriah's name was mentioned under the above head in the register of 1865 and the amildar explains in his memo of 18-12-92 that this was not brought to his notice at first), that it was mentioned in report No. 197 of 12 July 78 that he had performed the office of Revenue and Police Patel for some time, that Siddiah son of the deceased stated that he did not know how his father was appointed and agreed to produce documents he had with him in support of his claim in four days which he did not do and that the village being large and from the way in which he conducted the duties till now it could not be said he was competent for the place - that it was evident from the records that petitioner Imahadevappa's father Kalliah having been found illiterate, Chendriah was appointed as pro-tempore Patel, that Kalliah & others have expressed their opinion in their statements that if Imahadevappa who was residing in the village and competent to carry out the work in addition to his being literate, were appointed as funcela the work would be carried out with great facility and recommended the appointment of Kalliah's son Imahadevappa as Patel and Siddiah's son Imahadevappa as funcela till the former became competent.

Thereupon the Dy. Commissioner ordered in his Hukum No. 826/6 August 92 as follows.

All the papers connected with the case were gone through. It is evident from

his office Hukeem no 7740/6-2-79 that deceased Chendriah was appointed as Govern-ment Potel - Therefore his Son has no claim to the Potel - Since Linyappa who was pronounced blee Hakoor during the Baka Polisi, has died his nearest relative viz. his Eldest brothers Son Mahadevappa a minor is, in conformity with his Re-commendation, appointed as Hakoor Potel and till he became of age the work should be taken from another Mahadevappa as formerly on behalf of the minor as recommended by him

Against this order Sidriah Son of Chendriah appealed to Government on the 23 September 92 and it was referred to Dy. Commissioner Vide no. 778 of 22 Octr. 92. for Submission of Pro. with a report on the facts of the case.

On the 18 Octr. 92 the petitioner Submitted some original documents.

On the 26th. Octr. 92 the Dy. Commissioner forwarded the above petition to the Amildar directing him to Submit the records of the case with a report touching on the Several points referred to in the petition

On the 28 Octr. 92 the Amildar having issued notices for the appearance of the parties they were examined on the 6th. 11 & 28 Novr. 92 and on the 18th December he Submitted an explanatory Memo-randum to the Dy. Commissioner.

Thereupon the Dy. Commissioner forwarded his Report no. 361/224 of 26 December 92 called for.

12

(7)

M no 778 of 1892-93

Apt—
Chandria
Halhalli
Holalkere Taluq
Chitaldroog Dt

Resp't
Mahadevappa

The Rev of 1847 shows that Resp't's father
Chandria & some others in regard to whom nothing
Known ~~was~~ entered as Patels. The Rev
In 1865, Appt's father
Chandria's name was added as Hissadar
a remark that he acquired the right to the
~~by~~ his father ~~office~~ hereditary
1836-37.— In 1877, Kallesh's brother son
Appa was appointed Patel, & Appt's
Chandria was appointed as his
Vasta. In 1878, Lingappa died &
on the report of the amildar
the original Hackdars son was illeterate, the
Govt ordered Appt's father Chandria to be
entered as "Gore Patail" of the village. Thus
in 1879.— Chandria who was doing duties
under order died in 1892, & the Dy Comr

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 1020

Name of Petitioner. Lingiah

Village. Hebbahalli

Taluk. Holalkere

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 18-10-92

Date of Receipt. 22-10-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Four

LR 14-92-2

ABSTRACT.

Submits additional papers in connection with his claim for the Patel of the village, in continuation of his previous petn dated 23d Septr 1892

SVR
25/10/92

Put up with the Proceedings when received

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

Virajiya younger brother of Kalleya + Registered as Patel in 1847. Thus it is evident that the name of Chendiya appeared under the head "Hissédars" not as being one of the members of the Registered Shekdars family, but as the descendant of a man (Siviya) who was alleged to be a joint lessee of the Village -

4 - During the Pucka Potge Settle = = ment in 1877-78, one Linjappa son of Basiya referred to as Shekdar Patel in the Register of 1865, was + appointed Patel, Lama Chendiya the Petitioners father having been appointed to do the duties of the Patel until + Linjappa became Competent.

5 - The said Linjappa died sinceless shortly afterwards. The Amildar in his report No: 197 dated 12th July 1878, + recommended

recommended the names of Kallaya brother of the deceased and Chendiya the father of the petitioner for the 27
Patél; remarking that the former was illeterate and the latter as literate and competent. The then Deputy Com: = missioner issued order No: 774 dated 6th February 1879 appointing Chendiya Patel on behalf of Government.

6- This Chendiya died in January last. The Amildar sending Enquiry into the Claims to the Patélship ordered that the petitioner should do the duties of Patél. Mahadevappa son of Kallaya recommended in 1878, petitioned the Amildar claiming Patél Hucks the descendant of the original Huckdar. The Amildar after due Enquiries sub: = mitted Report No: 57 dated 10th July 1892 recommending Mahadevappa

2. The petitioner's father is Jaswal Rajput by caste and appears in column 12 of the said register under the heading of "Hindoo Jaswal Rajput".

3. Thus, it is clear that the petitioner has a right to the office of Patel of the village in question.

4. At the time of the settlement of Pakka Poligar in 1877-78, it would appear that one Ramchandra Lingiah was the Patel and that the petitioner's father Chandiah should look after the duties till the said Lingiah became competent. Petitioner's father Chandiah was looking after the duties under Government till his death which took place in January last. After his death the petitioner was appointed to look after the duties of Patel until further order.

5. Subsequently there was some dispute as to their appointment. Lingiah referred in para 4 of the petition died before the death of the petitioner's father Chandiah. The said Lingiah died issueless. After his death, his step brother Kalliah desired that the office may be conferred on him and the

the petitioner was ordered to look after the duties while the petitioner was discharged from the post of Constable and the petitioner knows to write well.

15. That under the Rules in force with reference to the Grama Seva Service the petitioner is the proper person to succeed to the office in question held by his father.

From the foregoing makers, the Petitioner prays that the order of the Commissioner may be set-aside and the petitioner kindly appointed to the office of Vidane in question.

The petitioner most humbly begs to remain

Your Honour's most obedient and humble servant

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]